

Evaluating Image Analysis Approaches Toward "Harmonization" of PD-L1 Assays

Abstract

The commercial diagnostic landscape for PD-L1 immunohistochemistry (IHC) assays is highly complex. Multiple different companion or complementary diagnostic tests exist for therapeutics targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, each using a different interpretation to inform therapeutic decision-making. Flagship Biosciences envisions the utilization of Computational Tissue Analysis (cTA[™]) to develop an approach that could harmonize the interpretation of individual PD-L1 diagnostic tests. Specifically, when a single, continuous cTA-based scoring system is applied across each assay, the assays can be mathematically normalized, harmonizing PD-L1 assay scoring.

In a proof-of-concept study, non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patient samples were stained with the FDA-approved Dako 28-8 and Dako 22C3 tests, as well as the in-house SP142 and E1L3N assays. The cTA platform was used to identify tissue and cellspecific Biofeatures[™] and then generate digital scores for PD-L1 test comparison. The performance of the cTA platform in scoring a PD-L1 IHC assay was first examined by comparing the digitally generated PD-L1 scores for the 28-8 assay with (1) manual PD-L1 scores generated by multiple pathologists and (2) an orthogonal reference method (ie, NanoString™). The comparison of manual and digital scores (using cTA) demonstrated that the cTA approach significantly reduced variability in PD-L1 scoring. Additionally, the digitally generated PD-L1 scores showed better correlation to the reference method than did the manual PD-L1 scores.

Following evaluation of the cTA platform performance in scoring the 28-8 PD-L1 assay, the digitally generated scores for each of the 4 PD-L1 assays were compared. The FDA-AACR-ASCO "PD-L1 Blueprint" working group has previously identified similarities and differences between these 4 commercialized assays. Similarly, digital quantification of membrane staining intensity in the tumor compartment using the cTA platform showed that the average intensities of the 22C3 and 28-8 assays were similar, while the SP142 intensity was lower and the E1L3N intensity was higher. The percentage of PD-L1–positive cells identified in each assay was highly correlated across the reference range of PD-L1 expression for each assay. Based on the proof of concept demonstrated in this study, a cTA approach is a method that could potentially enable harmonization of the PD-L1 tests through use of a digital pathology platform.

Whole-Slide Scoring of PD-L1 Using cTA™



Image analysis tools overcome some of the challenges in conventional anatomic pathology practice, particularly for analyzing complex tissue architecture and heterogenous biomarker expression. In a computer-aided workflow, a digital image of the stained tissue is created with digital pathology components. Algorithms analyze the tissue captured in the high-resolution image and provide a digitally derived score. The use of cTA[™]-aided scoring allows for more accurate and direct cell counting and scoring across the whole slidethan can be achieved with manual scoring.

The cTA markup is a visual representation of the data generated by the algorithm.







PD-L1 IHC Assay

cTA Markup





Allison S. Harney, Staci J. Kearney, Carsten Schnatwinkel, Famke Aeffner, Luke Pratte, Zach Pollack, Jenifer Caldara, Karen Ryall, Joseph Krueger, Daniel Rudmann, Roberto Gianani

Interpathologist and Intrapathologist Scoring Variability

To examine the performance of the cTA[™]-based solution as a pathologist aid in comparison to manual pathology scoring, interpathologist and intrapathologist scores for PD-L1 were evaluated with whole-slide manual scoring and cTA-aided scoring. Interpathologist assessments for both manual scores and cTA-aided scores were from 3 different pathologists. For intrapathologist assessments, the same pathologist completed manual and cTA-aided scoring on 3 separate days with a 2-week washout period between scoring.

Pathologist assessments included manual pathology scoring and review of algorithm performance for cTA-aided scoring, including adjustment of certain algorithm parameters to increase accuracy of staining or cellular detection if appropriate.

The cTA platform significantly reduces variability in PD-L1 scoring.





The intrasample %CV for both the interpathologist and intrapathologist assessments were significantly reduced for cTA-aided pathologist scoring (digital scoring) as compared to manual scoring by a pathologist.



To confirm analytical accuracy of analyte detection in the IHC assays, PD-L1 scores were compared to values of PD-L1 expression derived from an orthogonal method, namely NanoString. cTA-aided digital PD-L1 scores and manual pathology scores were both compared to values of PD-L1 gene expression determined by NanoString using formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded sections from the tissue blocks.

Assessment of agreement of manual and digital scoring data demonstrated poor negative agreement at lower percent-positive cut-points, indicating that potentially beneficial (positive) patient samples may be excluded based on manual pathology scoring. Overall, cTA-aided scoring has a higher number of samples that are found to be PD-L1 positive.

Comparison of PD-L1 IHC Assays Using cTA[™]-Aided Scoring

Since cTA-based digital scoring of PD-L1 IHC assays provided a better diagnostic continuum, we used the cTA platform to investigate the similarities and differences among 4 PD-L1 IHC assays. The percentage of PD-L1-positive cells for each IHC assay was quantified by the cTA platform according to the assay guidelines for interpretation.



Comparison of PD-L1 Scoring to a Reference Standard

cTA[™]-aided scoring more accurately correlates with PD-L1 gene expression.

cTA-aided PD-L1 scoring improved performance across the diagnostic spectrum.

Cut-point Agreement: Manual vs Digital Scoring					
Cut-Point	1%	5%	10%	25%	50%
Agreement	66%	70%	70%	89%	85%
Positive Agreement	100%	94%	90%	78%	56%
Negative Agreement	18%	36%	59%	94%	100%

cTA[™]-aided PD-L1 scoring identified differences and similarities in IHC assay scoring.

Data excluded due to pathologist disagreement with the analysis results (QC cull).

The comparison of the percentage of PD-L1-positive cells in each sample for the 4 IHC assays demonstrates that there are differences in cellular identification. To examine how similar the 4 assays were in identifying PD-L1-positive cells, samples were classified as PD-L1-positive or -negative at the 0% end point. The correlation matrix demonstrates the similarities in each of the PD-L1 IHC assays.

Assessment of PD-L1 IHC Scoring Harmonization Using Staining-Intensity Data

The cTA[™] platform demonstrates that the relationship between PD-L1 scoring and IHC staining intensity is differential and inconsistent between and within assays.



In understanding the differences among the 4 PD-L1 IHC assays, IHC staining intensity was investigated as a measurement that could be combined with the percentage of PD-L1-positive cells as a means of harmonizing scoring and interpretation of PD-L1 across all 4 assays.

Discussion

While all 4 PD-L1 IHC assays had a strong correlation between mean membrane staining intensity and the percentage of PD-L1-positive cells, when a cTA-aided scoring method was used to determine the PD-L1 membrane staining intensity on a continuous scale, the CST PD-L1 E1L3N XP Assay demonstrated the highest PD-L1 membrane staining intensity overall, while the Ventana PD-L1 (SP142) Assay demonstrated lower PD-L1 membrane staining intensity than the other assays but had a similar staining profile to the Dako PD-L1 IHC 22C3 and Dako PD-L1 IHC 28-8 pharmDx assays.

Conclusions

As compared with a manual scoring approach, cTA[™]-aided scoring

- improves precision in the scoring of a challenging biomarker stain such as PD-L1.
- demonstrates higher accuracy as determined by the correlation of a reference method (ie, mRNA expression) with IHC scoring.
- better captures the full diagnostic spectrum of PD-L1 scoring and better defines positivity for PD-L1 samples that have a low IHC staining intensity.
- can be used to understand PD-L1 scoring and staining intensity in multiple PD-L1 IHC assays to develop a robust method for harmonizing assay interpretation.